

DR. PANJABRAO DESHMUKH KRISHI VIDYAPEETH,
P.O. KRISHINAGAR, AKOLA.

NOTICE

No.BBA/Advt.I/2016/4270

Dated the 1st March, 2018.

The Grievance Committee constituted for deciding the grievances received on the List of Eligible and Not Eligible candidates published on the University web-site on 08/02/2018 held the meeting of the committee and decided the grievances. The committee has not accepted any of the grievances and hence the provisional list of eligible and not eligible candidates for the post of Assistant Professor published on the University web-site is declared as Final list of Eligible and Not Eligible candidates.

Sd/-
Registrar,
Dr.P.D.K.V., Akola

Copy to O/I, ARIS Computer Center, Dr.PDKV, Akola with a request to post this notice on the University web-site and inform the applicants accordingly via SMS/e-mail etc.


Registrar,
Dr.P.D.K.V., Akola

Statement of Grievances submitted by the applicants against the List of Eligible and Not Eligible candidates published by the University on University web-site on dated 09/02/2018.

Sr. No.	Name of Incumbents	Grievances submitted by the Incumbents	Office remarks	Decision
1.	Dr. Sujata Bhavusaheb Pawar	She has submitted that, she has applied for the post of, Assistant Professor of Agril. Botany under Open as well as Open Female category. However she has been shown eligible under Open-Female category and not under Open category.	She has submitted two application forms bearing No. 0644 and 0630. The application bearing No.0644 has been held Not eligible due to discrepancy in the name on the application and the documents attached. However the second application bearing No.0630 has been held Eligible under both Open and Open-Female categories and accordingly has been shown in the list. The grievance is unwarranted.	She has already been held eligible under Open & Open-Female category hence the grievance is not tenable.
2.	Shri Rangnath Shivram Bagul	He has submitted that, his application for the post of Asstt. Prof. of Agril. Botany through proper channel was submitted to Registrar, MPKV, Rahuri on 01/02/2017, however he has been held not eligible for the reason "through proper channel copy received late"	The through proper channel copy of the application of Shri R.S. Bagul has been received by this office on 27/02/2017. The last date for submission of application forms through proper channel was 22/02/2017 as per the advertisement. Therefore the candidate held not eligible.	The University vide its advertisement has made it clear that, the last date for submission of application through proper channel shall be 22/02/2017. The said application has been received on 27/02/2017. Therefore, the decision of the Scrutiny and Assessment Committee is in accordance with the terms and condition with the advertisement, hence the grievance is not tenable.
3.	Ms. Dipti Jayantrao Wankhade	She has submitted that, she is M.Sc. in Agril. Bio-Technology from VNMKV, Parbhani and Ph.D. in Tropical Agriculture from Agricultural Botanyin Kasetsart University, Thailand. She has claimed that her thesis work is in Genetics & Plant Breeding. She has further submitted that, she has been held eligible by MPKV Rahuri for the post of Asstt.Prof. of Agril.Botany.	As per the provision laid down under MAU Statute 1990 provision 55 (18) the Director of Instruction has been empowered to decide the equivalence of the degrees awarded by the various Universities. Therefore the University vide EC regulation has constituted the Scrutiny & Assessment Committee under the Chairmanship of Director of Instruction and the said Committee has considered application and held her not eligible due to degree not in relevant discipline.	The competent authority has decided the eligibility / not eligibility of the applicant after going through her application, hence grievance is not tenable.








Sr. No.	Name of Incumbents	Grievances submitted by the Incumbents	Office remarks	Decision
4.	Shri Sanjay Baburao Nandanwar	He has submitted that, his application for the post of Asstt.Prof. of SSAC through proper channel was submitted to Registrar, MPKV, Rahuri within due date, and the Registrar has forwarded the application form to this University and it is not his fault that, your office has received the application late.	The through proper channel copy of the application of Shri S.B. Nandanwar has been received by this office on 06/03/2017. The last date for submission of application forms through proper channel was 22/02/2017 as per the advertisement. Therefore the candidate held not eligible.	The University vide its advertisement has made it clear that, the last date for submission of application through proper channel shall be 06/03/2017. The said application has been received on 27/02/2017. Therefore, the decision of the Scrutiny and Assessment Committee is in accordance with the terms and condition with the advertisement, hence the grievance is not tenable.
5.	Dr. Suvarna L. Mahalle	She has submitted that, her Ph.D. is in relevant subject of Agricultural Economics, however the University has held her not eligible for the reason that "Ph.D. not in concerned subject"	As per the provision laid down under MAU Statute 1990 provision 55 (18) the Director of Instruction has been empowered to decide the equivalence of the degrees awarded by the various Universities. Therefore the University vide EC regulation has constituted the Scrutiny & Assessment Committee under the Chairmanship of Director of Instruction and the said Committee has considered application and held her not eligible due to degree not in relevant discipline.	The competent authority has decided the eligibility / not eligibility of the applicant after going through her application, hence grievance is not tenable.
6.	Dr. Pritesh M. Tayade	He has submitted that, his Nationality Certificate enclosed with his grievance application should be considered and hold him eligible for the post of Asstt. Prof. of Agril. Economics	As per the advertisement condition No.12, no additional documents can be considered after the submission of the application form.	As per the conditions in the advertisement his grievance is not tenable.
7.	Shri Gaurev U. Karad	He has submitted that, he will self attest the documents enclosed with his application form at the time of interview / exam. Therefore he should be made eligible	As per the condition 4 (How to apply) of advertisement, the documents should be self attested by the candidate.	As per the conditions in the advertisement his grievance is not tenable.


170


178

180

Sr. No.	Name of Incumbents	Grievances submitted by the Incumbents	Office remarks	Decision
8.	Suryakant Bajirao Tarate	He has submitted that, in other Universities the post graduation of IDE is held eligible for SWCE and vice versa. Therefore he should be considered eligible for the post of Asstt. Prof. of SWCE inspite of having PG degree in IDE.	As per the provision laid down under MAU Statute 1990 provision 55 (18) the Director of Instruction has been empowered to decide the equivalence of the degrees awarded by the various Universities. Therefore the University vide EC regulation has constituted the Scrutiny & Assessment Committee under the Chairmanship of Director of Instruction and the said Committee has considered application and held her not eligible due to degree not in relevant discipline.	The competent authority has decided the eligibility / not eligibility of the applicant after going through his application, hence grievance is not tenable.
9.	Sahebrao Housrao Jedhe	He has submitted that, in other Universities the post graduation of IDE is held eligible for SWCE and vice versa. Therefore he should be considered eligible for the post of Asstt. Prof. of SWCE inspite of having PG degree in IDE.	As per the provision laid down under MAU Statute 1990 provision 55 (18) the Director of Instruction has been empowered to decide the equivalence of the degrees awarded by the various Universities. Therefore the University vide EC regulation has constituted the Scrutiny & Assessment Committee under the Chairmanship of Director of Instruction and the said Committee has considered application and held her not eligible due to degree not in relevant discipline.	The competent authority has decided the eligibility / not eligibility of the applicant after going through his application, hence grievance is not tenable.
10.	Ku. Manisha Bhaskarrao Khedkar	She has submitted that, the University has not published the list of eligible and not eligible candidate for the post of Assistant Professor of Farm Structure hence she is not able to understand whether her application is accepted or rejected.	The University has not published the list of eligible and not eligible candidate for the post of Assistant Professor of Farm Structure.	The University has not published the list of eligible and not eligible candidate, hence the grievance is premature.


Associate Dean, PGI, &
Member of Grievance Committee
Dr. P.D.K.V., Akola


Director of Research &
Chairman of Grievance Committee
Dr. P.D.K.V., Akola


Registrar & Secretary of
Grievance Committee
Dr. P.D.K.V., Akola